

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,306	01/25/2005	Yoshiaki Ajioka	1089.44602X00	6453
20457 7590 10/01/2007 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET			EXAMINER	
			FULLER, RODNEY EVAN	
<b>* * - - - - - - - - - -</b>	SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-3873			PAPER NUMBER
mediveren,			2862	·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/522,306	AJIOKA, YOSHIAKI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Rodney E. Fuller	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAILING DOWN THE MAILING THE MAI	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Ja	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 January 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)⊠ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 January 2005 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	(a) accepted or $(a)$ adrawing(s) be held in abeyan	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National Stage			
and an action of a list	or the contined copies flot	Rodney Fuller			
		Primary Examiner			
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/25/05.	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/522,306

Art Unit: 2862

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed January 25, 2004 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered. The foreign reference JP-09-166135 was not supplied by applicant and/or not scanned into the record.

## Specification

2. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

- 3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the phrase "As shown in Fig. 1" can be implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following item(s):

Application/Control Number: 10/522,306 Page 3

Art Unit: 2862

a. The first paragraph of the Specification should include the cross reference to related applications, i.e., "This application is a 371 continuation of PCT/JP03/09058, filed July 16, 2003."

b. The Specification refers to specific claims throughout. As an example, on page 4, the Specification states: "The invention described in claim 1....".

However, the current claims may be amended or cancelled during the prosecution of the application.

Appropriate correction is required.

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 1-22 are allowed.
- 6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Regarding independent claim 1, the prior art does not teach and/or suggest "wherein said second guide rail rotates centering around two said shafts supporting said second guide rail, sliding said indication bar along said first guide rail, said first guide rail rotates centering around two said shafts supporting said first guide rail, sliding said indication bar along said second guide rail, and said rotor rotates centering around said indication bar, sliding at least one said slider along said third guide rail."

Regarding independent claim 4, the prior art does not teach and/or suggest "wherein each of said second guide rail and said third guide rail rotates centering around two said shafts supporting said second guide rail, sliding said

Art Unit: 2862

. . . . .

indication bar along said first guide rail, said first guide rail rotates centering around two said shafts supporting said first guide rail, sliding at least two said sliders along said second guide rail and said third guide rail, and said rotor rotates centering around said indication bar, sliding at least two said sliders along said second guide rail and said third guide rail."

Regarding independent claim 7, the prior art does not teach and/or suggest "wherein each of said second guide rail and said third guide rail rotates centering around two said shafts supporting said second guide rail, sliding at least two said sliders along said first and said sixth guide rail, each of said first guide rail and said sixth guide rail rotates centering around two said shafts supporting said first guide rail, sliding at least two said sliders said second guide rail and said third guide rail, and said rotor rotates centering around said indication bar, sliding at least two said sliders along said second guide rail and said third guide rail."

Dependent claims 2, 3, 10-22 depend from claim 1.

Dependent claims 5 and 6 depend from claim 4.

Dependent claims 8 and 9 depend from claim 7.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

7. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:

(1) Correction of the IDS and the (2) objections to the Specification.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213, (Comm'r Pat. 1935).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rodney E. Fuller whose telephone number is 571-272-2118. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Assouad can be reached on 571-272-2210. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,306

Art Unit: 2862

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Rodney E Fuller Primary Examiner Art Unit 2862

September 26, 2007